CHAPTER 1

Linear Vector Spaces

DEFINITION 1.0.1. A linear vector space over a field F is a triple $(V, +, \cdot)$, where V is a set, $+: V \times V \to V$ and $\cdot: F \times V \to V$ are maps with the properties:

- (i) $(\forall x, y \in V)$, x + y = y + x.
- (ii) $(\forall x, y, z \in V)$, x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z.
- (iii) $\exists 0_V \in V : (\forall x \in V), x + 0_V = 0_V + x = x.$
- (iv) $\forall x \in V, \exists -x \in V : x + (-x) = (-x) + x = 0_V.$
- (v) $(\forall \lambda \in F, x, y \in V)$ $\lambda(x+y) = \lambda x + \lambda y$.
- (vi) $(\forall \lambda, \mu \in F, x \in V)$ $(\lambda + \mu) x = \lambda x + \mu x$.
- (vii) $(\forall \lambda, \mu \in F, x \in V)$ $(\lambda \mu) x = \lambda (\mu x)$.
- (viii) $(\forall x \in V) \ 1_F x = x.$

Note that in the above and the following we will abbreviate $\lambda \cdot x$ by λx . We sometimes talk of the map \cdot as the action of the field F on the vector space V. Sometimes we will refer to the set V as the vector space (where the + and \cdot is obvious from the context). Elements of the set V are called vectors, while those of F are called scalars. If the field F is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} (which are the only cases we will be interested in), we call V a real vector space or a complex vector space, respectively.

EXAMPLE 1.0.2. (1) The set \mathbb{R}^n of n-tuples (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) of real numbers with + and \cdot defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n)$$
$$\lambda \cdot (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \dots, \lambda x_n)$$

is a linear vector space over the field \mathbb{R} .

- (2) The set \mathbb{C}^n of *n*-tuples of complex numbers (similar).
- (3) Let $\operatorname{Mat}_{m\times n}(F)$ be the set of all $m\times n$ F-valued matrices. Then $\operatorname{Mat}_{m\times n}(F)$ is a vector space under usual addition of matrices and multiplication by scalars.
- (4) Let $\mathbb{R}_{n+1}[X]$ be the set of all polynomials up to degree n, *i.e.* all expressions of the form $a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \ldots + a_nX^n$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, is a vector space over \mathbb{R} with the usual definitions of addition of polynomials and multiplication of polynomials by numbers. (Note that we use the suffix n+1 and not n because the number of parameters you need to specify a polynomial is n+1 some authors refer to this set as $\mathbb{R}_n[X]$.
- (5) \mathbb{R} is a vector space over \mathbb{R} ! Similarly \mathbb{C} is one over \mathbb{C} . Note that \mathbb{C} is also a vector space over \mathbb{R} though a different one from the previous example! Also note that \mathbb{R} is *not* a vector space over \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 1.0.3. If V is a vector space over F, then

- (1) $(\forall \lambda \in F) \ \lambda 0_V = 0_V$.
- $(2) (\forall x \in V) 0_F x = 0_V.$
- (3) If $\lambda x = 0_V$ then either $\lambda = 0_F$ or $x = 0_V$.
- (4) $(\forall x \in V, \lambda \in F) (-\lambda) x = -(\lambda x) = \lambda (-x).$

Proof. Exercise!

DEFINITION 1.0.4. Let $(V, +, \cdot)$ be a vector space over a field F. If a nonempty subset $W \subseteq V$ is a vector space over the same field F with the operations + and \cdot being restricted to $W \times W$ and $F \times W$, respectively, then $(W, +, \cdot)$ is called a subspace of $(V, +, \cdot)$. We usually say that W is a subspace of V.

Theorem 1.0.5. A nonempty $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace of V if

$$(\forall x, y \in W, \lambda, \mu \in F) : \lambda x + \mu y \in W$$

Example 1.0.6. (1) Every vector space is (trivially) a subspace of itself.

- (2) Every subspace of V has the element 0_V . Thus the smallest subspace of V is the singleton set $\{0_V\}$.
- (3) In \mathbb{R}^3 the subspaces are (i) the origin, (ii) lines passing through the origin, (iii) planes passing through the origin, and (iv) the whole space.

Theorem 1.0.7. The intersection of any collection of subspaces of V is a subspace of V.

PROOF. Let C be a collection of subspaces of V and let $T = \bigcap_{W \in C} W$ be their intersection. Since $0_V \in W$, $\forall W \in C$, $0_V \in T$, so that $T \neq \emptyset$. Let $x, y \in T$. Then $\forall W \in C$, $x, y \in W$ and thus $\lambda x + \mu y \in W$ $\forall \lambda, \mu \in F$. So $\lambda x + \mu y \in T$, and thus T is a subspace.

Note that this is not true for a union.

Given a subset $S \subseteq V$, we define $\langle S \rangle$ as the intersection of all subspaces of V that contain S. This is a subspace of V and is the smallest subspace containing S.

If $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is not the origin and $S = \{(x,y)\}$, then $\langle S \rangle$ is the line joining (x,y) to the origin. If $S = \emptyset$, then $\langle S \rangle$ is $\{0_V\}$.

DEFINITION 1.0.8. Let V be a vector space over F and let S be a nonempty subset V. Then we say that $v \in V$ is a linear combination of elements of S if $\exists x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \in S$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r \in F$ such that

$$v = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \ldots + \lambda_r x_r$$

This helps us to define

DEFINITION 1.0.9. Given a nonempty subset $S \subseteq V$, the span of S, denoted Span S is defined to be the set of all linear combinations of elements of S.

Since linear combinations of linear combinations are linear combinations, it is obvious that Span S is a subspace. If $S = \emptyset$, we define Span S to be the singleton vector space $\{0_V\}$.

Theorem 1.0.10. $\langle S \rangle = Span S$

PROOF. The result follows trivially for $S = \emptyset$. Let us now consider $S \neq \emptyset$.

 $\forall x \in S$, since $1_F x = x \in \operatorname{Span} S$, we have $S \subseteq \operatorname{Span} S$. Thus $\operatorname{Span} S$ is a subspace containing S. Since $\langle S \rangle$ is the smallest subspace containing S, we have $\langle S \rangle \subseteq \operatorname{Span} S$.

Let $v \in \operatorname{Span} S$. Then, $\exists x_1, \dots, x_r \in S$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in F$ such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i x_i$. If W is a subspace of V containing S, then $x_1, \dots, x_r \in W$ and thus $v \in W$. Since $\langle S \rangle$ is a subspace containing S, it follows that $v \in \langle S \rangle$ and hence $\operatorname{Span} S \subseteq \langle S \rangle$.

DEFINITION 1.0.11. A subset S of a vector space V is called a spanning set if Span S = V.

EXAMPLE 1.0.12. In \mathbb{R}^3 , the vectors (1,0,0), (0,1,0) and (0,0,1) form a spanning set, since any vector $(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ can be written as a linear combination of these three. Of course, this is not the only choice - for example, the set $\{(1,1,1),(1,-2,1),(0,1,3),(0,0,1),(-1,5,7)\}$ is also a spanning set.

DEFINITION 1.0.13. A nonempty subset S of a vector space V is said to be linearly independent if the only way of expressing 0_V as a linear combination of elements of S is the trivial way, *i.e.* if $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in S$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \in F$ then

$$\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \ldots + \lambda_n x_n = 0 \implies \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = \lambda_n = 0.$$

A subset is called linearly dependent if it is not independent.

EXAMPLE 1.0.14. In \mathbb{R}^3 , the vectors (1,0,0), (0,1,0) and (0,0,1) form a linearly independent set.

THEOREM 1.0.15. No linearly independent subset of a vector space V can contain the vector 0_V .

We can restate the theorem above as "every subset of a vector space containing the null vector is linearly dependent".

Theorem 1.0.16. A superset of a linearly dependent set is linearly dependent. Every subset of a linearly independent set is linearly independent.

PROOF. Let S be linearly dependent and let $T \supseteq S$. Then, $\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S$ such that there is a nontrivial linear combination of these elements that yields 0_V . Since $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in T$ as well, T is linearly dependent. The proof of the next part of the statement follows trivially.

Theorem 1.0.17. Let V be a vector space over a field F. If $S \subseteq V$ has at least two elements then it is linearly dependent iff one of its elements can be written as a linear combination of the others.

PROOF. (only if) Let S be linearly dependent. Then, $\exists x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in F$ such that $\lambda_1 x_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n x_n = 0$, with not all the $\lambda_i = 0$. Since $\exists \lambda_i \neq 0$, we can relabel if necessary so that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. Then, we can write

$$x_1 = \left(-\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right) x_2 + \ldots + \left(-\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right) x_n.$$

(if) If it is possible to write one of the elements of S, say x_1 , as a linear combination of the rest

$$x_1 = \mu_2 x_2 + \ldots + \mu_n x_n$$

Then we can write

$$(-1) x_1 + \mu_2 x_2 + \ldots + \mu_n x_n = 0_V$$

which is a non-trivial linear combination.

DEFINITION 1.0.18. A linearly independent spanning set of a vector space is called its basis.

EXAMPLE 1.0.19. In \mathbb{R}^n the *n* vectors $(1,0,0,\ldots,0)$, $(0,1,0,\ldots,0)$, \ldots , $(0,0,\ldots,0,1)$ form a basis. This is called the natural basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1.0.20. A nonempty subset S of a vector space V is a basis of V iff every element of V can be expressed in a unique way as a linear combination of elements of S.

PROOF. (only if) Let S be a basis. Then Span S = V and thus $\forall x \in V$ we can expand x as a linear combination $\sum_i \lambda_i x_i$ of elements of S. To see that this is unique, note that $\sum_i \lambda_i x_i = \sum_i \mu_i x_i$ implies $\sum_i (\lambda_i - \mu_i) x_i = 0_V$ and since the x_i 's are independent, we have $\lambda_i - \mu_i = 0$ for all i, so that $\lambda_i = \mu_i$.

(if) Suppose that every element of V can be expressed in a unique way as a linear combination of elements of S. Then Span S = V. Again, since $\sum_i 0_F x_i = 0_V$ and the expansion is unique for all $x \in V$, the only linear combination that gives the null vector is the trivial one - so that S is also linearly independent.

EXAMPLE 1.0.21. Every element in $\mathbb{R}_{n+1}[X]$ can be expanded as a unique linear combination $a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \dots + a_nX^n$ of elements of the subset $\{1, X, X^2, \dots, X^n\}$, and hence this is a basis.

EXAMPLE 1.0.22. For i = 1, ..., n let $a_i = \{a_{i1}, a_{i2}, ..., a_{in}\}$. Then $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_n\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{R}^n iff the matrix $A = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ is invertible. To see this, let $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ and consider the expansion

$$x = \lambda_1 a_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n a_n.$$

This corresponds to the system of equations

$$A^t \left[\begin{array}{c} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{array} \right].$$

So, an unique linear combination is possible if and only if A^t is invertible, i.e. A is invertible.

THEOREM 1.0.23. Let V be a vector space that is spanned by a finite set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. If $I = \{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V then necessarily $m \le n$.

PROOF. Consider $w_1 \in I$. Since $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a spanning set, $\exists \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in F$ such that $w_1 = \lambda_1 v_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n v_n$. Since $w_1 \neq 0_V$ (because I is linearly independent), not all $\lambda_i = 0_F$. By a change of indices if necessary, we can take $\lambda_1 \neq 0_F$. Then $v_1 = \lambda_1^{-1} w_1 - \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_2 v_2 - \ldots - \lambda_1^{-1} \lambda_n v_n$, which shows that

$$V = \text{Span } \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \text{Span } \{w_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} = V.$$

Again, $w_2 = \mu_1 w_1 + \mu_2 v_2 + \ldots + \mu_n v_n$ and not all of $\mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n = 0_F$ (otherwise we would have $w_2 = \mu_1 w_1 - 1$ contradicing the independence of I). Again, we can relabel if necessary to get $\mu_2 \neq 0_F$, and show that $v_2 = \mu_2^{-1} w_2 - \mu_2^{-1} \mu_1 w_1 - \mu_2^{-1} \mu_3 v_3 - \ldots - \mu_2^{-1} \mu_n v_n$. This helps us to show that Span $\{w_1, w_2, v_3, \ldots, v_n\} = V$.

If n < m, we could proceed in the same way and finally get Span $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} = V$. Then we will have a contradiction, because we would be able to express the rest of the w's - w_{n+1}, \dots, w_m as linear combinations of w_1, \dots, w_n . Thus $m \le n$.

In other words, you can not have more linearly independent vectors than there are in a spanning set.

COROLLARY 1.0.24. If V has a finite basis B then every basis of V is finite and has the same number of elements as B.

PROOF. Let B^* be another basis for V. If B^* is an infinite set then, since any subset of a linearly independent set is linearly independent, we can get finite linearly independent subsets of B^* with arbitrarily many elements, thus contradicting the last theorem. So, B^* must be finite.

Since B is a spanning set and B^* is linearly independent, we have $|B| \ge |B^*|$. By reversing the two bases, we have $|B^*| \ge |B|$. Thus $|B| = |B^*|$.

DEFINITION 1.0.25. A finite dimensional vector space V is one which has a finite basis. The number of elements in any basis of V is called the dimension of V, and is denoted by dim V.

EXAMPLE 1.0.26. A basis for the vector space $\operatorname{Mat}_{m\times n}(F)$ is the set $\{e_{pq}: 1\leq p\leq m, 1\leq q\leq n\}$ where e_{pq} is a $m\times n$ matrix with the elements $(e_{pq})_{ij}=\delta_{ip}\delta_{jq}$. Thus $\operatorname{dim}\operatorname{Mat}_{m\times n}(F)=mn$.

Theorem 1.0.27. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. If G is a finite spanning set of V and if $I \subseteq G$ is linearly independent, then there is a basis B of V such that $I \subseteq B \subseteq G$.

PROOF. If Span I=V then B=I and we have nothing left to prove. Suppose Span $I\neq V$. Then $I\neq G$ and $G\setminus \operatorname{Span} I\neq\emptyset$ (If $G\subseteq \operatorname{Span} I$, we would have $\operatorname{Span} I\supseteq \operatorname{Span} G=V$). Thus, $\exists g_1\in G$ such that $g_1\notin \operatorname{Span} I$. Then $I'=I\cup\{g_1\}\subseteq G$ is linearly independent. If $\operatorname{Span} I'=V$, we are done. If not, $\exists g_2\in G$ such that $g_2\notin \operatorname{Span} I'$ and we get a linearly independent set $I''=I\cup\{g_1,g_2\}$. If $\operatorname{Span} I''=V$ we are done. If not, we continue. Since G is a finite set, this process will come to an end. Finally we will get a linearly independent set $I\cup\{g_1,g_2,\ldots,g_r\}\subseteq G$ which spans V. This is the basis B and obviously $I\subseteq B\subseteq G$.

Corollary 1.0.28. Every linearly independent subset I of a finite dimensional vector space V can be extended to form a basis.

PROOF. Since V is finite dimensional, it has a finite basis B. The subset $I \cup B \supseteq I$ is a finite set that spans V. Now we can use the theorem above.

COROLLARY 1.0.29. Every finite spanning set of a finite dimensional vector space contains a basis.

PROOF. Let G be a finite spanning set of V. Since $\emptyset \subseteq G$ is linearly independent, according to the theorem we have a basis B of V such that $\emptyset \subseteq B \subseteq G$.

COROLLARY 1.0.30. If V is of dimension n then every linearly independent set consisting of nelements is a basis of V.

PROOF. If I is a linearly independent set containing n elements, then $\exists B$, a basis of V containing I. But |B| = n and thus B = I.

COROLLARY 1.0.31. If S is a subset of a finite dimensional vector space V, then the following statements are equivalent.

- (1) S is a basis.
- (2) S is a maximal independent set (i.e. if I is an independent set with $S \subseteq I$, then S = I).
- (3) S is a minimal spanning set (i.e. if $G \subseteq S$ spans V then G = S).

PROOF. (1) \Longrightarrow (2): If I is independent with $S \subseteq I$, there is a basis B with $I \subseteq B$. Then $I \subseteq B$ - but |I| = |B|. Thus I = B.

- (2) \Longrightarrow (1): By the first Corollary above, there exists a basis B with $S \subseteq B$. But B is independent and thus, by hypothesis B = S.
- (1) \Longrightarrow (3): If Span G = V then $\exists B \subseteq G$ where B is a basis of V. Thus $B \subseteq G \subseteq S$. But since both B and S are bases, we have |B| = |S|, and thus B = S.
- (3) \Longrightarrow (1): Since S spans V, there is a basis B with $\emptyset \subseteq B \subseteq S$. But B then also spans V and according to the hypothesis, B = S.

COROLLARY 1.0.32. If V is of dimension n then every subset containing more than n elements is linearly dependent. Again, no subset with less than n elements can span V.

Theorem 1.0.33. Let V be afinite dimensional vector space. If W is a subspace of V, then W is also finite dimensional, and

 $\dim W \leq \dim V$.

Moreover, we have $\dim W = \dim V$ iff W = V.

PROOF. Let dim V = n. If I is a linearly independent subset of W then it is also a linearly independent subset of V and thus $|I| \le n$. Thus, a maximal such subset B exists and is, then, a basis of W. Hence W is also of finite dimension and dim $W \le \dim V$.

Again, if dim $W = \dim V$, we have |B| = n. Thus B is a linearly independent subset of V with n elements. So, B is also a basis of V and thus $W = \operatorname{Span} B = V$.

CHAPTER 2

Linear mappings

DEFINITION 2.0.34. If V and W are vector spaces over the same field F, then a map $f: V \to W$ is called a linear map if

(1)
$$(\forall x, y \in V)$$
 $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$, and

(2)
$$(\forall x \in V) (\forall \lambda \in F)$$
 $f(\lambda x) = \lambda f(x)$.

EXAMPLE 2.0.35. The map $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by

$$(x,y) \mapsto (x+y,x-y,y)$$

is linear.

EXAMPLE 2.0.36. The *n* maps $\operatorname{pr}_i:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(x_1,\ldots,x_i,\ldots,x_n)\mapsto x_i$$

are linear. pr_i is called the *i*-th projection map.

EXAMPLE 2.0.37. The map $D: \mathbb{R}_{n+1}[X] \to \mathbb{R}_{n+1}[X]$ defined by

$$a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \ldots + a_n X^n \mapsto a_1 + 2a_2 X + \ldots + na_n X^{n-1}$$

is linear.

THEOREM 2.0.38. If the map $f: V \to W$ is linear, then

- (1) $f(0_V) = 0_W$, and
- (2) f(-x) = -f(x)

PROOF. (1) $f(0_V) = f(0_F x) = 0_F f(x) = 0_W$.

(2)
$$0_W = f(0_V) = f(x + (-x)) = f(x) + f(-x)$$
 which gives the result if we add $-f(x)$ to both sides.

DEFINITION 2.0.39. Given a linear map $f: V \to W$ and $\lambda \in F$ we define the map $\lambda f: V \to W$ by

$$(\forall v \in V) \quad (\lambda f)(v) = \lambda f(v)$$

DEFINITION 2.0.40. Given two linear maps $f, g: V \to W$ we define the sum map $f + g: V \to W$ by

$$(\forall v \in V) \quad (f+g)(v) = f(v) + g(v)$$

It is easy to check that the maps λf and f+g defined above are linear. If we denote the set of all linear maps from V to W by $\operatorname{Lin}(V,W)$, this shows that $\operatorname{Lin}(V,W)$ is a vector space over F under the addition and multiplication by scalar operation defined above.

DEFINITION 2.0.41. If $f:V\to W$ is linear we define $f^{\to}:2^V\to 2^W$ by

$$(\forall X \subseteq V) \quad f^{\rightarrow}(X) = \{f(x) : x \in X\}$$

and $f^{\leftarrow}: 2^W \to 2^V$ by

$$(\forall Y \subseteq W) \quad f^{\leftarrow}(Y) = \{x \in V : f(x) \in Y\}.$$

We call $f^{\rightarrow}(X)$ the direct image of X under f, while $f^{\leftarrow}(Y)$ is called the inverse image of Y under f.

Note that most authors denote $f^{\rightarrow}(X)$ and $f^{\leftarrow}(Y)$ by f(X) and $f^{-1}(Y)$, respectively.

It is easy to see that the maps f^{\rightarrow} and f^{\leftarrow} are inclusion preserving, *i.e.* if $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq V$ then $f^{\rightarrow}(X_1) \subseteq f^{\rightarrow}(X_1)$ and if $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2 \subseteq W$ then $f^{\leftarrow}(Y_1) \subseteq f^{\leftarrow}(Y_2)$.

THEOREM 2.0.42. Both f^{\rightarrow} and f^{\leftarrow} carry subspaces into subspace, i.e. if X is a subspace of V, then $f^{\rightarrow}(X)$ is a subspace of W and if Y is a subspace of W then $f^{\leftarrow}(Y)$ is a subspace of V.

PROOF. Let X be a subspace of V. Let $w_1, w_2 \in f^{\rightarrow}(X)$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$. Then $\exists x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $f(x_1) = w_1$ and $f(x_2) = w_2$. Then

$$\lambda_1 w_1 + \lambda_2 w_2 = \lambda_1 f(x_1) + \lambda_2 f(x_2) = f(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(X)$$

Thus, $f^{\rightarrow}(X)$ is a subspace.

Again, let Y be a subspace of W. Let $v_1, v_2 \in f^{\leftarrow}(Y)$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in F$. Then $\exists y_1, y_2 \in Y$ such that $f(v_1) = y_1$ and $f(v_2) = y_2$. Then

$$f(\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2) = \lambda_1 f(v_1) + \lambda_2 f(v_2) = \lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2 \in Y$$

and thus $\lambda_1 v_1 + \lambda_2 v_2 \in f^{\leftarrow}(Y)$, which proves that $f^{\leftarrow}(Y)$ is a subspace.

DEFINITION 2.0.43. The subspace $f^{\rightarrow}(V)$ of W is called the image of f and is denoted by Im f.

DEFINITION 2.0.44. The supspace $f \subset \{0_W\}$ is called the kernel of f and is denoted by Ker f.

Example 2.0.45. For the map in example 2.0.35, the image is

$$\operatorname{Im} f = \{(x + y, x - y, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \operatorname{Span} \{(1, 1, 0), (1, -1, 1)\}.$$

and the Kernel is

$$\operatorname{Ker} f = \{(0,0)\}.$$

EXAMPLE 2.0.46. For the *i*-th projection map pr_i (example 2.0.36), we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{pr}_{i} = \mathbb{R}, \quad \operatorname{Ker} \operatorname{pr}_{i} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : x_{i} = 0 \right\}.$$

EXAMPLE 2.0.47. For the differentiation map D on $\mathbb{R}_{n+1}[X]$ (example 2.0.37) we have

$$\operatorname{Im} D = \mathbb{R}_n [X], \quad \operatorname{Ker} D = \mathbb{R}.$$

THEOREM 2.0.48. If $f: V \to W$ is linear then f is injective iff $Ker f = \{0_V\}$.

PROOF. (only if) Let f be injective. Since $f(0_V) = 0_W$ for all linear maps, $0_V \in \text{Ker } f$. On the other hand, if $x \in \text{Ker } f$, then $f(x) = 0_W = f(0_V)$. Since f is injective, we have $x = 0_V$.

(if) Let Ker $f = \{0_V\}$. Then if f(x) = f(y) we have $f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y) = 0_W$ so that $x - y \in \text{Ker } f \implies x - y = 0_V \implies x = y$. Thus f is injective.

THEOREM 2.0.49. If $f: V \to W$ is linear and injective and if $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V, then $\{f(v_1), \ldots, f(v_n)\}$ is linearly independent.

PROOF. Let $\lambda_1 f(v_1) + \ldots + \lambda_n f(v_n) = 0_W$. Then $f(\lambda_1 v_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n v_n) = 0_W$ and hence $\lambda_1 v_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n v_n \in \text{Ker } f$. Since f is injective, $\text{Ker } f = \{0_V\}$ so that $\lambda_1 v_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n v_n = 0_V$ and since $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ is linearly independent, we have $\lambda_1 = \ldots = \lambda_n = 0$. Thus $\{f(v_1), \ldots, f(v_n)\}$ is linearly independent.

Theorem 2.0.50. Let V and W be finite dimensional vector spaces over the same field F. If $f:V\to W$ is linear we have

$$\dim V = \dim \operatorname{Im} f + \dim \operatorname{Ker} f$$

PROOF. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of Ker $f \subseteq V$ and $\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ be a basis of Im $f \subseteq W$. Since $w_i \in \text{Im } f$, $\exists v_i^* \in V$ such that $f(v_i^*) = w_i$. Consider the set $J = \{v_1^*, \ldots, v_m^*, v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subseteq V$.

Let $x \in V$. Since $f(x) \in \text{Im } f$, we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i f(v_i^*) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i v_i^*\right)$$

so that $f(x - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i v_i^*) = 0_W$. Thus $x - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i v_i^* \in \text{Ker } f$ so that

$$x - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i v_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j v_j \implies x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i v_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j v_j.$$

Thus J is a spanning set.

Again, if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i v_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j v_j = 0_V$, we have $f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i v_i^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j v_j\right) = 0_W$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i w_i = 0_W$. Since $\{w_1, \ldots, w_m\}$ is linearly independent we have $\lambda_i = 0$. But then $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j v_j = 0$ so that $\mu_j = 0$. Thus J is linearly independent and is hence a basis.

Thus

$$\dim V = |J| = m + n = \dim \operatorname{Im} f + \dim \operatorname{Ker} f$$

DEFINITION 2.0.51. If f is a linear map dim Im f is called the rank of f and dim Ker f is called the nullity of f. Thus theorem 2.0.50 can be written as

rank + nullity = dimension of departure space.

Theorem 2.0.52. Let V and W be vector spaces of dimension n over the same field F. If $f:V\to W$ is linear then the following statements are equivalent

- (1) f is injective.
- (2) f is surjective.
- (3) f is bijective.
- (4) f carries bases to bases, i.e. if $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V then $\{f(v_1), \ldots, f(v_n)\}$ is a basis of W.

Proof.
$$(1) \implies (2) \implies (3)$$
:

Let $f: V \to W$ be linear and injective. Then $\operatorname{Ker} f = \{0_V\}$ so that $\dim \operatorname{Ker} f = 0$. According to theorem 2.0.50, we have $\dim \operatorname{Im} f = n$ and thus $\operatorname{Im} f = W$, so that f is surjective. Thus f is both injective and surjective, so that it is bijective.

$$(2) \implies (1) \implies (3)$$
:

Let $f: V \to W$ be linear and surjective. Then Im f = W so that $\dim \text{Im } f = \dim W = n$ and thus $\dim \text{Ker } f = 0$ from theorem 2.0.50. Thus $\text{Ker } f = \{0_V\}$ and so f is injective and hence bijective.

That $(3) \implies (1)$ and $(3) \implies (2)$ is obvious.

$$(1) \implies (4)$$
:

Let $f: V \to W$ be linear and injective and let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis for V. Then $\{f(v_1), \ldots, f(v_n)\}$ are n distinct (because f is injective) liearly independent vectors (from theorem 2.0.49) in W. Thus this provides a basis of W (corollary 1.0.30).

$$(4) \implies (1)$$
:

Let $f: V \to W$ be linear and carry bases of V to bases of W, i.e. if $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ is a basis for V then $\{f(v_1), \ldots, f(v_n)\}$ is a basis of W. Let $x \in \operatorname{Ker} f$ and $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i$. Then $0_V = f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{1i} f(v_i)$ and thus $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ so that $x = 0_V$. So $\operatorname{Ker} f = \{0_V\}$ and so, according to theorem 2.0.48, f is injective.

DEFINITION 2.0.53. Let V and W be linear vector spaces over the same field F. A linear map $f:V\to W$ is called a linear isomorphism if it is a bijection. Two vector spaces V and W are called isomorphic, denoted by $V\cong W$ if there is a isomorphism from one to the other.

REMARK 2.0.54. The relation "is isomorphic to" between vector spaces is an equivalence relation.

Theorem 2.0.55. Let V be a vector space of dimension $n \ge 1$ over a field F. Then $V \cong F^n$.

PROOF. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots v_n\}$ be a basis of V. Consider the map $f: V \to F^n$ defined by

$$f\left(\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}v_{i}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2},\ldots,\lambda_{n}\right).$$

Since $\{v_1, v_2, \dots v_n\}$ is a basis, every vector $x \in V$ has a unique expansion of the form $\sum_i \lambda_i x_i$. Thus the map f is an isomorphism. It is also obviously linear.

Theorem 2.0.56. If V and W are vector spaces of dimension n over the same field F, then $V \cong W$.

PROOF. By the previous theorem, we have $V \cong F^n$ and $W \cong F^n$. Then \exists linear isomorphisms $f: V \to F^n$ and $g: W \to F^n$. Then, the map $g^{-1} \circ f: V \to W$ is a linear bijection, and hence an isomorphism.

For a general map f between two spaces V and W, we have to specify what f does to each element of V. We now show that for a linear map, we have to only worry about what the map does to each element of a basis of V.

THEOREM 2.0.57. Let V and W be finite dimensional vector spaces over the same field F. If $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V and let w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n be vectors (not necessarily distinct) in W then there is a unique linear map $f: V \to W$ such that $f(v_i) = w_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

PROOF. Since every element of V can be uniquely expressed in the form $\sum_i \lambda_i v_i$, we can define a map $f: V \to W$ by

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i w_i$$

It is easy to check that this map is linear. Moreover, for each i, we have

$$f(v_i) = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{ij} v_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{ij} w_j = w_i.$$

To show that this map f is unque, let $g: V \to W$ be a linear map with the property that $g(v_i) = w_i$. Then for $\forall v \in V, v = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i$, we have

$$g(v) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i v_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i g(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i w_i = f(v).$$

COROLLARY 2.0.58. A linear map is completely and uniquely determined by its action on the basis of its departure space.

COROLLARY 2.0.59. Two linear mappings $f, g: V \to W$ are equal iff they agree on a basis of V.

CHAPTER 3

Linear maps and matrices

DEFINITION 3.0.60. Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. An ordered basis of V is a finite sequence $(v_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of elements of V such that the set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis of V.

We have seen that a linear map f between two spaces V and W is uniquely determined by its action on a basis of V. Given ordered bases $(v_i)_m$ and $(w_j)_n$ on V and W, respectively we define the matrix form of f with respect to the bases $(v_i)_m$ and $(w_j)_n$, denoted by Mat f, as a matrix with the elements a_{ij} given by

$$f(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ji} w_j, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Note the (slightly counterintuitive) order of the indices on a. Also note that if V and W are m and n dimensional, respectively, then for a linear map $f: V \to W$ we have $\operatorname{Mat}_{f} \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times m}(F)$.

For the special map $\mathrm{id}_V:V\to V$ which maps every $v\in V$ to itself, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{Matid}_V=I_n$ if V is a n dimensional vector space and any given ordered basis $(v_i)_n$ of V is used.

THEOREM 3.0.61. Let V and W be linear vector spaces over the same field F. Then for all linear maps $f, g: V \to W$ and $\lambda \in F$, and with respect to fixed ordered bases

$$Mat(f+g) = Matf + Matg$$

 $Mat(\lambda f) = \lambda Matf$

PROOF. Let $\operatorname{Mat} f = [x_{ij}]_{n \times m}$ and $\operatorname{Mat} g = [y_{ij}]_{n \times m}$ relative to fixed ordered bases $(v_i)_m$ of V and $(w_j)_n$ of W. Then for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$

$$f(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ji} w_j, \qquad g(v_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{ji} w_j$$

so that

$$(f+g)(v_i) = f(v_i) + g(v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{ji} + y_{ji}) w_j,$$

and thus $\operatorname{Mat}(f+g) = [x_{ij} + y_{ij}]_{n \times m} = \operatorname{Mat} f + \operatorname{Mat} g$. Similarly

$$(\lambda f)(v_i) = \lambda f(v_i) = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ji} w_j,$$

so that $\operatorname{Mat}(\lambda f) = [\lambda x_{ij}]_{n \times m} = \lambda \operatorname{Mat} f$.

This theorem implies that the map ϑ : Lin $(V, W) \to \operatorname{Mat}_{n \times m}(F)$ defined by $f \mapsto \operatorname{Mat} f$ is a linear map. It is also clearly a bijection (why?). Thus we have

Theorem 3.0.62. If V, W are vector spaces of dimension m, n over F then

$$Lin(V, W) \cong Mat_{n \times m}(F)$$
.

THEOREM 3.0.63. Consider linear maps $f: U \to V$ and $g: V \to W$ with fixed ordered bases $(u_i)_m, (v_j)_n$ and $(w_k)_p$ of U, V and W respectively. We have

$$Mat(g \circ f) = Matg Matf$$

PROOF. Let $\operatorname{Mat} f = [x_{ij}]_{n \times m}$ and $\operatorname{Mat} g = [y_{ij}]_{p \times n}$. Then

$$g \circ f(u_i) = g(f(u_i)) = g\left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ji}v_j\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ji}g(v_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ji}\left(\sum_{k=1}^p y_{kj}w_k\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\sum_{j=1}^n y_{kj}x_{ji}\right)w_k$$

Thus Mat $(g \circ f) = [z_{ij}]_{n \times m}$ where

$$z_{ki} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{kj} x_{ji}.$$

COROLLARY 3.0.64. A square matrix is invertible iff it represents an isomorphism.

PROOF. Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ square matrix. Thus, there exists a $n \times n$ square matrix B such that $BA = I_n$. Let V be a n dimensional vector space and let $(v_i)_n$ be an ordered basis of V. Let $f, g : V \to V$ be linear maps represented by the matrices A, B with respect to the ordered basis $(v_i)_n$. Then $g \circ f$ is represented by the matrix $BA = I_n$ and thus $g \circ f = \mathrm{id}_V$ and so f is invertible and is thus an isomorphism.

Conversely, let the matrix A represent the linear isomorphism $f: V \to V$. Since f is a bijection, it has an inverse f^{-1} such that $f^{-1} \circ f = \mathrm{id}_V$. Then the matrix B representing f^{-1} obeys $BA = \mathrm{Mat} f^{-1} \mathrm{Mat} f = \mathrm{Mat} \left(f^{-1} \circ f \right) = \mathrm{Matid}_V = I_n$. Thus $B = A^{-1}$ and the matrix A is invertible.

We next consider the question of change of basis and its effect on the matrix representative of a linear map.

DEFINITION 3.0.65. Given two ordered bases $(v_i)_n$ and $(v'_i)_n$ of a given vector space V the transition matrix from $(v_i)_n$ to $(v'_i)_n$ is defined as the matrix representative of the identity map id_V with respect to the bases $(v_i)_n$ and $(v'_i)_n$.

REMARK 3.0.66. If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $(v_i)_n$ is the natural ordered basis on V then it is easier to determine the transition matrix from $(v_i')_n$ to $(v_i)_n$, than the other way around.

From corollary 3.0.64 it is obvious that

Theorem 3.0.67. Transition matrices are invertible.

Theorem 3.0.68. If a linear mapping $f: V \to W$ is represented relative to ordered bases $(v_i)_m, (w_i)_n$ by the $n \times m$ matrix A then relative to new ordered bases $(v_i')_m, (w_i')_n$ the matrix representing f is the $n \times m$ matrix $Q^{-1}AP$ where Q is the transition matrix from $(w_i')_n$ to $(w_i)_n$ and P is the transition matrix from $(v_i')_m$ to $(v_i)_m$.

PROOF. From the following diagram

$$V; (v_i)_m \xrightarrow{f; A} W; (w_i)_n$$

$$\operatorname{id}_V; P \downarrow \qquad \qquad \operatorname{id}_W; Q$$

$$V; (v_i')_m \xrightarrow{f; B} W; (w_i')_n$$

using

$$f \circ id_V = id_W \circ f = f$$

we get

$$AP = QB$$

so that $B = Q^{-1}AP$.

The converse of this theorem is also true.

THEOREM 3.0.69. Let $(v_i)_m$, $(w_i)_n$ be ordered bases of V, W, respectively. Suppose that A, B are $n \times m$ matrices such that there are invertible matrices P, Q such that $B = Q^{-1}AP$. Then there are ordered bases $(v_i')_m$, $(w_i')_n$ of V, W and a linear map $f: V \to W$ such that A is the matrix of f relative to $(v_i)_m$, $(w_i)_n$ and B is the matrix of f relative to $(v_i')_m$, $(w_i')_n$.

PROOF. If $P = [p_{ij}]_{m \times m}$ and $Q = [q_{ij}]_{n \times n}$, define

$$(i = 1, ..., m)$$
 $v'_i = \sum_{j=1}^m p_{ji} v_j;$ $(i = 1, ..., n)$ $w'_i = \sum_{j=1}^n q_{ji} w_j.$

Since P is invertible, there is (corollary 3.0.64) an isomorphism $f_P: V \to V$ that is represented by P relative to the ordered basis $(v_i)_m$. Since, by definition $v'_i = f_P(v_i)$, it follows that $(v'_i)_m$ is an ordered basis of V (by theorem 2.0.52). P is the transition matrix from $(v'_i)_m$ to $(v_i)_m$. Similarly $(w'_i)_n$ is an ordered basis of and Q is the transition matrix from $(w'_i)_n$ to $(w_i)_n$.

Now let $f:V\to W$ be the linear map whose matrix, relative to the bases $(v_i)_m$ and $(w_i)_n$, is A. Then by theorem 3.0.68 the matrix of f relative to the bases $(v_i')_m$ and $(w_i')_n$ is $Q^{-1}AP=B$.

DEFINITION 3.0.70. If A, B are $n \times n$ matrices then B is called similar to A if there is an invertible matrix P such that $B = P^{-1}AP$.

The next two theorems are very simple to prove.

Theorem 3.0.71. For $n \times n$ matrices, the relation "is similar to" is an equivalence relation.

Theorem 3.0.72. Two $n \times n$ matrices A, B are similar iff they represent the same linear map relative to possibly different bases.